

1 ☐ Validation

2 ☐ Definition of validation

- Specification of the transformations required to extract estimates of high-level geo/biophysical quantities from calibrated DNs, including specifications of uncertainties in these products
- Process of assessing by independent means the quality of the data products
- Determine the accuracy that the image-based methods are expected to perform – not pass/fail

3 ☐ Standard data products

- Radiance data products
- Set of high-level products generated

4 ☐ Validation activities

- Occurs before/after satellite launch
 - Prelaunch activities
 - Determine algorithms, characterize uncertainties
 - Postlaunch activities
 - Refine algorithms, refine uncertainty estimates based on near-direct comparison with correlative data & selected, controlled analyses

5 ☐ Validation of products

- Acquire RS imagery, derive information estimates from imagery using product algorithms from the instrument science teams
- Acquire independent measure of information product quantity, ideally from in situ measurements at ground level, using independent methods
- Compare image-based information product estimate with independent measures for identical (or similar) locations & times

6 ☐ Processing chain

- Level 0 – engineering product
 - Raw data
- Level 1 – engineering product
 - TOA radiance in a specific map projection
 - Validation – vicarious calibration
 - Validation campaigns: ground-based, airborne and on-orbit satellite sensors
 - Simultaneous radiometric measurements of spatially & spectrally homogeneous earth targets for purposes of validating the on-orbit satellite radiometric calibration

7 ☐ Processing chain

- Level 2 – scientific products
 - Scientific understanding of the remote sensing system
- Quality assessment (QA)

8 ☐ Quality assessment (QA)

- Evaluate & document the scientific quality of the high-level products
- Provided as: product metadata & as per pixel information

9 ☐ Validation methodology

- Need multiple validation methods, depends on temporal & spatial scales
- Direct measurements in the field

- Instrumentation
 - Spatial sampling design
 - Observation networks
- 10 ☐ **Direct correlative measurements**
- Comparisons with in situ data collected over a distributed set of validation test sites
 - Generate uncertainty directly
- 11 ☐ **MODLAND – field data collection**
- Instantaneous measures of spectral reflectance & thermal IR radiance; various bio/geophysical variables at various test sites
 - Calibration activity
 - Validation of radiometric variables
 - Establish a semi-permanent array of test sites
 - Flux tower – allows extended temporal measurement of terrestrial biophysical dynamics for a range of land cover types
- 12 ☐ **Radiometric (radiation) variables**
- Reflectance spectra
 - Spectroradiometers – measure reflectance as a function of wavelength
 - Laboratory goniometer (Fig. 12.1) – measures leaf reflectance & transmittance
 - Directional reflectance
 - Radiometers & spectroradiometers
 - Measure multiangle reflectance during a short period of time – 2 protocols to measure
- 13 ☐ **Radiometric (radiation) variables**
- Hemispheric albedos
 - Albedometers – measures broadband albedo
 - Pyranometers – measures solar radiation
 - Radiation fluxes
 - PAR (quantum sensors)
 - Pyranometers – shortwave fluxes
- 14 ☐ **Radiometric (radiation) variables**
- Skin temperature
 - Contact sensors – transducers
 - Noncontact sensors – IR radiometer
 - Thermal emissivity
 - Lab measurement or field (gold-box method)
- 15 ☐ **Biophysical/biochemical variables**
- LAI/FPAR (leaf area index/fraction of photosynthetically active radiation)
 - Allometric relationships – destructive canopy sampling for LAI
 - Indirect measurements from a light-sensitive instrument, analyze hemispherical photos
 - Leaf angle distribution (LAD)
 - Chlorophyll & other biochemical concentrations – measure in lab
- 16 ☐ **Spatial sampling design**
- Accuracy

- Precision
- Data quality
- Error
- Study area, sample site, subplot
 - Spatial distribution, number of sample sites, required size of individual sample site, number & size of subplots required

17 ☐ Sampling methods

- Random spatial sampling
- Stratified spatial sampling
- Systematic spatial sampling
- Stratified systematic spatial sampling

18 ☐ Observation networks

- Networks related to land surfaces
 - Phenological changes, spatial complexity
 - Commitment of resources at test sites
- Major observation networks
 - AERONET, FLUXNET, EOS Land Core Validation Sites, BigFoot, BSRN, Oklahoma Mesonet, SURFRAD, ISIS, LTER, ILTER

19 ☐ Intercomparison of algorithms & products – Figure 12.11

- Compare data in question with same type of data & products from other spaceborne sensors
 - Products wavelength dependent: reflectance, albedo, emissivity
 - Products independent of wavelength: LAI, temperature, FPAR

20 ☐ Intercomparison of algorithms & products – Figure 12.11

- Compare trends derived from independently obtained reference data & other types of satellite products
- Compare a particular data product with the same product obtained using a different algorithm
- Data assimilation methods – validated radiative transfer model

21 ☐ NASA EOS validation program

- <http://eospso.gsfc.nasa.gov/>
- EOS Project Science Office
- Instrument science teams
 - Levels 1-3 products
- Interdisciplinary science teams
 - Levels 3 & 4 products